Overview and Scrutiny Committee 7 December 2023 Advance Questions

Agenda Item 4 – Quarter 2 2023/24 Performance Report

Question 1

(Page 33) KPI 7 Net Affordable Housing Completions

p33 Is it possible to see what affordable housing units are coming through the system for the rest of the year? We are assured that we are ahead at the moment, but will there be further slippage by the end of Q4? Is this year's trend likely to continue?

Written Answer

As set out in the narrative to KPI7, there are 100 affordable units on sites under construction. These are 50 at Westvale Park, Horley; 23 at Chave Croft, Tadworth; 12 at Brook Road Garage, Redhill; 8 at Chaucer Court, Redhill; 4 at Hockley Industrial Estate, Redhill and 3 at Kingsfield Business Centre, Redhill. There are currently also 32 affordable units permitted on sites that have not yet commenced construction. Given the 100 affordable units on sites under construction it is likely that many will come through to completion by the end of Quarter 4. However, it is impossible to say with any certainty ow many, given each site will be at different stages of completion with different build out rates.

Question 2

(Page 35) KPI 10 – The percentage of household waste that is recycled or composted.

As residual waste rates have remained consistent, what is the thinking behind stating that falling recycling rates may be due to residents changing their purchasing habits?

Written Answer

Recent refuse (kerbside) data shows to be reasonably consistent, following its peak during covid. Together with the dry mixed recycling and food waste recycling data, we are overall seeing a reduction in these collected materials, not to be confused with the recycling rates.

It is reasonable to suggest this is likely to be a combination of households buying less and wasting less. And it could be added that the reduced dry mixed recycling amount will include an element of reduced packaging by suppliers, which will logically continue to decline with the progression of the Extended Producer Responsibility element of the governments Resources & Waste Strategy.

Question 3

(Page 23) Capital Programme Monitoring

26 per cent of the approved 2023/24 Capital Programme expenditure has not been underspent and noted as slippage. This expenditure is now expected in 2024/25. How does this figure of 26 per cent compare with previous years?

Written Answer

The equivalent slippage was 53% by Q2 in 2022/23 and 62% in 2021/22.

Now that Capital Programme growth has been confirmed for 2024/25 onwards budget holders are being asked to review future capital budget profiles for inclusion in the final budget report in February so that they better reflect planned spend going forward.

Question 4

Page 23 Capital Programme Monitoring

26 per cent of the approved 2023/24 Capital Programme expenditure has not been underspent and noted as slippage. This expenditure is now expected in 2024/25. Given that inflation is running at 4.7 per cent, does this underspend result as a shortfall the following year?

Written Answer

Budget holders are required to ensure that spending plans remain within the allocated budget for their capital schemes. If delivery of a project is delayed there will not be an increase in the original allocation without a formal request to Council for budget growth, supported by the specific reasons why the budget is no longer sufficient to complete the works.

Question 5

Page 23 Capital Programme Monitoring

26 per cent of the approved 2023/24 Capital Programme expenditure has not been underspent and noted as slippage. This expenditure is now expected in 2024/25. Does this council need to identify practical proposals to reduce slippage?

Written Answer

The reasons for the slippage in capital expenditure varies greatly across the different programmes. Some of the slippage relates primarily to external economic factors and some is more driven by internal capability and prioritisation. Efforts are made to reduce slippage where it is appropriate to do so.

Now that Capital Programme growth has been confirmed for 2024/25 onwards budget holders are being asked to review future capital budget profiles for inclusion in the final budget report in February so that they better reflect planned spend going forward.

Question 6

Page 45 2023/24 Outturn Capital Programme Monitoring

26 per cent of the approved 2023/24 Capital Programme expenditure has not been underspent and noted as slippage. This expenditure is now expected in 2024/25. For Merstham Recreation Ground the slippage is £2.57m. The works are now expected to start in April 2024. Merstham Football Club hopes the works will be completed by the start of the 2024 season. Does the Council forecast any further delays which could result in Merstham Football Club's community teams being left without playing fields to fulfil home fixtures?

Written Answer

The works will last a minimum of nine months (starting in spring 2024 and finishing at the end of the year) so this will overlap with the football season. The service has confirmed however that the football pitches being retained in Merstham Rec will not be affected and will continue to be in use during the works. They do not expect that the contractor being on site will affect the football community.

The construction of the new football pitch at Battlebridge (provided as mitigation) is aimed to be delivered in February/March 2024 so that it is ready for the start of the football season in September 2024.

Agenda Item 7 – Companies Performance Update – Winter 2023

Question 7

Page 124 Greensands Holdings Ltd

Could the directors' analysis on the recoverability of inventories be shared with O&S? Could the independent, professional valuation of the development value of the land be shared with O&S?

Written Answer

The intention is to wind-up Greensand Holdings Ltd by way of a Members Voluntary Liquidation (MVL). To achieve this, the company must remain solvent. Elected Members (via PSTESC) have articulated a desire for the two assets currently owned by the company – Fishers Farm and Crown House – to be transferred into direct Council ownership. It is likely that these transfers will be 'in specie' (ie: not requiring a cash transaction).

It is critical in terms of the solvency requirement that Crown House (as the only revenue generating asset) is transferred last.

The company (after the passing of the relevant resolution at a future board meeting) will be writing to the Council (as Shareholder) to request a letter of consent to prepare to undertake these transfers. This approach is based on advice from the Council's

legal advisors and is consistent with the requirements of the company's Articles of Association.

In terms of recoverability, this solution will result in the Council acquiring legal title to both assets and the realisation of future value will depend on any development plans and/or prevailing market conditions.

Currently, the debt obligations relating to Crown House are being met from trading activities (ie rental income). In the case of Fishers Farm, debt interest has been accrued and has been impaired in the Council's accounts.

The relevant extract from the valuation report is set out below:

		O H A K I	ERED SUI OWN PL		
Valuation Date			31/12/2022		
Tenure			Freehold		
IFRS Categorisation		In	vestment Prope	erty	
Valuation Method			Fair Value		
IFRS 13 Hierarchy	Previous	2		Current	2

Client	Reigate & Banstead Borough Council
Client Property Reference	
WHE Client Reference	7057
WHE Asset Reference	7057/20001
Property Address	Fishers Farm, Lime's avenue, Horley
	Currently leased on an FBT at £1,000pa - holding over
	Land 21.8 acres
	The property was purchased for a price of £10,250,000. We understand at the time of purchase there was competition
	from other parties and as such in our view reflects market value as at November 2019.
	Whilst there are proposals for this site to become part of a larger development site with two other land plots, detailed
	plans are not yet known. The expectation is that the development will begin 2024 and as such the purchase reflected as
	element of hope value, whic is reflective by the low £/ha purchase price when compared to the market. Land values increased over the 21/22, circa 7%.
Notes	In the last year land values have been relatively consistent from a review, albeit there have been contradicting market
	reports. Due to the lack of sufficent sales evidence we have kept the £/ha the same.
	Although some commercial sectors have struggled (retail) others have performed well and maintained value. Industrial
	rents have increased but higher costs and inflation have meant values stayed level. Regional offices have also remained realtively stable.
	Important to note that this asset should be reviewed annually so it reflects the current planning position as once plannin is approved the value could increase considerably.
	From our land value assessment and analysis we have are of the view land values remain stable

Total Asset Valuation:		£10,879,8	300
Total Building Valuation:		£0	
Total Land Valuation:	4.0	£10,879,800	
Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life		999 Years	
Annual Depreciation Charge (ADC) - Building only			
Component Summary		Total	ADC
Structure		£0	£0
Heating & Associated Systems		£0	£0
Electrical	=	£0	£0
Roof	=	£0 £0	£0 £0
Lift			
Externals			
			£0
nual Depreciation Charge - Difference componentised / non-c	componetised		

Item 8 - Marketfield Way Update

Question 8

Page 135 para 12

The Executive Summary of the public report does not refer to the financial aspects of the project, and only acknowledges them in paragraph 12. It is not referred to as a primary objective. This is surprising as generating a revenue income stream has been a key objective of the Council's Commercial Strategy which is fundamental to the Council's future, and this is by far the biggest project undertaken by the Council. Is there a comment on this difference in focus?

Written Answer

Previous reports to Executive have highlighted that one of the primary objectives of the project was to provide an important revenue stream for the Council and this financial information is clearly outlined in paragraph 12 in the main body of the Part 1 report. There is no intended difference in focus and in retrospect it would have been advantageous to have highlighted the positive income generation that is forecast within the Executive summary.

Question 9

Page 138 Para 59

Does the in-house management of the property call for new skills in the team? Please provide more details on the issues taken into account in deciding on in-house management.

Written Answer

The Property team have sufficient skills and knowledge to manage the reactive and planned maintenance works at Marketfield Way. The restructure of the team in 2022 included the introduction of a Help Desk Co-ordinator, Assistant Facilities Manager and in 2023 a Facilities Surveyor.

While these roles are not solely dedicated for Marketfield Way they do ensure that there are sufficient resources for the development to be managed in house.

All commercial units and the Kooky housing units are under Full Repairing and Insuring leases lessening the liability to the Council.

The service charge function and rent collection will be retained in house and managed by the Asset management team.

It is considered therefore appropriate at this stage that the Property team have the skills and the capacity to manage Marketfield Way project in a cost-effective way.

Question 10

Page 140-143 para 73-95

Footfall, catchment and dwell time – please provide further detail on these matters. How was the data collected? Number of interviews, over how many days etc? Is the information statistically sound?

Written Answer

The report provides an initial assessment of the economic and social benefits that are beginning to be realised by the project.

This assessment has been undertaken using a variety of information that has been collected from a number of sources which are all statistically robust.

A public survey – As explained in Paras 139 – 141 of the report, the Council undertook an online survey of local people to obtain a better understanding of their opinions and use of The Rise.

The survey was promoted primarily through the Council's social media channels on X (twitter), its Instagram feed, on Facebook and on in its e-business newsletter.

The survey was available for two weeks starting on 30th October and ending on 13th November.

The survey was completed by a large number of respondents. A total of 1,090 people completed the survey which provides a very large sample size and forms a sound basis on which to draw any initial conclusions about people's opinions and use of The Rise.

Information about the profile of people completing the survey including their gender age and ethnicity was obtained and is included below.

14. Are you?				
Α	Answer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Male	23.92%	259	
2	Female	74.24%	804	
3	Non-binary	0.28%	3	
4	Prefer not to say	1.57%	17	
		answered	1083	
		skipped	7	

1!	5. What age range d	o you fall into?	
Aı	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Under 18	0.28%	3
2	19-24	2.67%	29
3	25-34	19.94%	217
4	35-44	38.67%	399
5	45-54	25.00%	272
6	55-64	9.19%	100
7	65-74	2.76%	30
8	75-84	0.92%	10
9	85+	0.00%	0
10	Prefer not to say	2.57%	28
		answered	1088
		skipped	2

Ar	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Respons Total
1	White - British	77.24%	835
2	White - Irish	2.22%	24
3	White - Eastern European	1.67%	18
4	White - Other background	5.55%	60
5	Mixed - White and Black Caribbean	0.09%	1
6	Mixed - White and Black African	0.28%	3
7	Mixed - White and Asian	1.02%	11
8	Mixed - Other mixed background	1.11%	12
9	Asian or Asian British - Indian	1.20%	13
10	Asian or Asian British - Pakistani	0.19%	2
11	Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi	0.19%	2
12	Asian or Asian British - Other Asian background	1.48%	16
13	Black or Black British - Caribbean	0.19%	2
14	Black or Black British - African	0.65%	7
15	Black or Black British - Other Black background	0.00%	0
16	Chinese	0.74%	8
17	Arab	0.37%	4
18	Prefer not to say	4.26%	48
19	Other (please specify):	1.57%	17

The survey asked people a series of questions. This included questions that were grouped:

Had they visited before? Whether they had visited The Rise or not? If they had not what were their reasons?

Travel and parking - If they had visited how did they travel there and if by car where did they park?

Activities – What were their thoughts about the activities at The Rise, would they recommend it and what else would improve their experience?

People's use and opinions of the Town - Their opinions and use of Redhill town centre since The Rise opened.

A summary of the report's findings including the numbers of responses to each question and the percentage response rate can be found below.

1	1. Have you visited The Rise in Redhill Town Centre?				
Α	nswer Choices			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes			95.69%	1043
2	No			4.31%	47
				answered	1090
				skipped	0

2.	Please tell us why you haven't visited The Ri	se	
An	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	I didn't know about it	36.73%	18
2	It is too expensive	16.33%	8
3	It does not appeal to me	40.82%	20
4	I can't travel there	6.12%	3
		answered	49
		skipped	1041

Α	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Foot	41.61%	434
2	Bicycle	0.29%	3
3	Bus	4.12%	43
4	Train	1.53%	16
5	Motorbike	0.10%	1
6	Car	51.39%	536
7	Other, please state	0.96%	10
		answered	1043
		skipped	47

Aı	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	The Belfry car park	69.09%	371
2	Sainsburys car park	19.37%	104
3	Gloucester Road car park	1.86%	10
4	Clarendon Road car park	1.12%	6
5	Station car park	0.93%	5
6	Somewhere else, please state	7.64%	41
		answered	537
		skipped	553

		,	
Αı	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very important	52.43%	281
2	Important	18.66%	100
3	Fairly important	9.14%	49
4	Not important	19.78%	106
		answered	536
		skipped	554

Ar	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Very important	52.05%	279
2	Important	19.22%	103
3	Fairly important	8.96%	48
4	Not important	19.78%	106

7. How satisfied are you with the activities that have opened so far at The Rise? Response Response **Answer Choices** Percent Total 1 They are brilliant 45.45% 474 2 They are very good 39.21% 409 3 They are okay 11.60% 121 They are not very good 2.30% 24 5 No opinion 15 1.44% answered 1043 skipped 47

8. How likely are you to recommend The Rise to your family and friends as a place to visit?				
Α	Answer Choices		e Response Total	
1	Extremely likely	53.88%	562	
2	Very likely	25.70%	268	
3	Likely	14.29%	149	
4	Not likely	5.27%	55	
5	No opinion	0.86%	9	
		answere	d 1043	
		skipped	47	

An	swer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	More places to eat	69.80%	705
2	More leisure activities	25.15%	254
3	Better signage	12.38%	125
4	More on offer at different times of day	18.91%	191
5	More low cost activities	42.97%	434
6	Outdoor activities and events	15.54%	157
7	Other (please specify)	20.00%	202
		answered	1010
		skipped	80

10. Do you think Redhill town centre has changed for the better, since The Rise opened?

Α	nswer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	It is significantly better	26.51%	289
2	It is better	33.30%	363
3	There is some improvement	29.17%	318
4	No improvement	6.79%	74
5	It is worse	2.02%	22
6	Don't know	2.20%	24
		answered	1090
		skipped	0

11. How important has the opening of The Rise been to improving Redhill town centre as a place to visit for leisure activities?

Answer Choices		esponse Percent	Response Total	
1	Very important	5	59.72%	651
2	Important	3	32.20%	351
3	Not important		5.23%	57
4	Don't know	<u> </u>	2.84%	31
		ar	nswered	1090
		s	skipped	0

12. Since the opening of The Rise, do you visit Redhill more often at weekends and in the evenings?

Α	Answer Choices			Response Total
1	Yes, I visit a lot more now		16.33%	178
2	Yes, I visit a bit more frequently now		47.89%	522
3	I visit about the same as I did before		32.75%	357
4	No, I visit less		2.20%	24
5	Don't know		0.83%	9
			answered	1090
	**********************		skipped	0



Belfry Footfall data – Footfall data for the Belfry Shopping Centre was obtained from MRI Springboard. MRI Springboard are the UK's market leader footfall counting and artificial intelligence (AI)-powered analytics to retailers, landlords, media and government bodies including the Office for National Statistics.

MRI Springboard have been monitoring and recording footfall data since 2002. They track foot traffic into and passing stores/malls and across downtowns with a reported minimum 98% accuracy.

Catchment Data and Dwell Time – Data relating to both catchment and dwell time was obtained from Place Informatics online resource TOWNANDPLACE.Al which the Council's Economic Development Team have been subscribing to.

TownandPlace.Al provides access to footfall, dwell time, visit frequency and catchment for more than 2,500 town centres across the UK.

Place Informatics uses mobile location data. This mobile location data is sourced from over 12 million permission based, 200+ GDPR compliant apps, providing national coverage and a geo-demographic representation of the UK population.

Place Informatics proprietary place database includes 500,000 plus manually created polygons (geo shapes) which are used to calculate visits to locations such as town centres, shopping centres and green spaces.

Place Informatics processes 4,000 million GPS events per month, captured from 12 million unique phones, from 200plus different app types. To achieve the most robust behaviour patterns each phone must deliver a minimum of 30+ GPS data events per day. This equates to at least 1 hour physical movement per day.

For the defined digital polygon area of each town centre the following statistical random sample panel sizes are required.

Catchment Population	Confidence Level		
	90%	95%	99%
100	50	80	99
500	81	218	476
1000	88	278	906
10000	96	370	4900
100000	96	383	8763
1000000+	97	384	9513

Sophisticated algorithms avoid the issue of double counting of visitor footfall. Place Informatics records only one unique visit per phone, ensuring a highly accurate recording of how busy a location is – not how many times the same phone passes a camera or sensor during the same visit trip.

Several further advance questions were submitted regarding the part 2 (exempt) elements of the agenda papers. As these contain exempt information, the questions and responses were shared with Committee members only.